- 1 order to recover attorney's fees. Rather, the relevant
- 2 standard is objective." Citing cases.
- The question as to whether the plaintiff's
- 4 allegations lacked an arguable basis of law or fact -- strike
- 5 that. A complaint will typically be found to be frivolous
- 6 where "it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact."
- 7 That's 109 Supreme Court 1827. As Judge Brody noted in Solomen
- 8 v. Redwood Advisory Co., 223 F.Supp.2d 681 (E.D.Pa. 2002)
- 9 "The Ninth Circuit, while noting the importance of a
- 10 'foundation in fact or law,' held that a suit is 'frivolous is
- 11 the results are obvious, or the arguments are wholly without
- 12 merit."
- In reviewing this matter, I note that an initial
- 14 motion to dismiss was survived, and I incorporate herein by
- 15 reference as is fully set forth the argument, as well as the
- 16 court's order entered on January 12, 2006, wherein, I sustained
- 17 the Crawford's objections to the proposed amendment. Although,
- 18 I found for the reasons on the record at that time that the

Case 1:09-cv-00299-SJM-SPB Document 24-2 Filed 08/02/10 Page 2 of 4
Case 1:04-cv-00264-SJM Document 50 (Court only) Filed 04/27/06 Page 92 of 94

- 19 plaintiff's positions insofar as Crawford were not well-taken
- 20 for the reasons stated on the record, I cannot say that the
- 21 positions were so frivolous, unreasonable or without foundation
- 22 as to trigger the attorney's fees provision as articulated in
- 23 <u>Christiansburg</u>. There is, in my view, a difference between
- 24 arguments that are a stretch and arguments that are patently
- 25 frivolous In this case the defendant Crawford deserved to be

72

- 1 dismissed from the case on solid legal grounds. But I do not
- 2 find that the plaintiff's positions were so extreme as to
- 3 justify the imposition of attorney's fees. And they are
- 4 denied.
- Now, the next thing that has to happen here is I'm
- 6 going to have my deputy clerk schedule a status conference in
- 7 this case. But I'm just going to say this. I am not real
- 8 happy about this huge waste of judicial and legal time that
- 9 brought us here today. And, frankly, in almost 12 years on the
- 10 bench it's never happened and I find it regrettable.

11

file:///Al/WA	Case 1:09-cv-00299-SJM-SPB	Document 24-2	Filed 08/02/10	Page 3 of 4
12	Case 1:04-cv-00264-SJM Docume (Whereupon, at 4:20 p.m., the	ent 50 (Court only) he proceedings we	Filed 04/27/06 ere	Page 93 of 94
13	concluded.)			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20	4			
21				
22		,		
23				
24				
25				
		73		
1	CERTIFICATE			
2				
3				
4				
5	I, Ronald J. Bench, certify that	the foregoing is a		

6	Case 1:04-cv-00264-SJM Document 50 (Cou correct transcript from the record of proceeding)	irt only) ngs in the	Filed 04/27/06	Page 94 of 94
7	above-entitled matter.			
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13.	Ronald J. Bench			
14	•			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Case 1:09-cv-00299-SJM-SPB Document 24-2 Filed 08/02/10 Page 4 of 4